

# UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

**FILING DATE** ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. **FIRST NAMED INVENTOR** MNFRAME. 044A 10/01/97 NOURI 08/942,347 **EXAMINER** LM02/0729 ELISCA, P KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 620 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE SIXTEENTH FLOOR 12 NEWPORT BEACH CA 92660-8016 2785 **DATE MAILED:** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

07/29/99

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

Examiner

Applicant<sub>ie</sub>,

08/942,347

Nouri et al.

Pierre Eddy Elisca

Group Art Unit 2785



This action is FINAL.   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.   shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire   THREE   month(s), or thirty days, whichever to despite   THREE   month(s), or thirty days, whichever to respond within the period for response will cause the polication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 7 CFR 1.136(a).   Isoposition of Claims   Isolate   Is		
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire THREE month(s), or thirty days, whichever the longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the polication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 7 CFR 1.136(a).    Interpretation of Claims	Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 10, 1999	•
in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex perte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 433 U.S. 213.  shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
polication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 7 CPR 1.136(a).  polication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 7 CPR 1.136(a).  polication of Claims    Claim(s)	in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11;	; 453 U.G. 213.
Scare pending in the application. Of the above, claim(s)   none	a larger from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond	Id Mithin the betton for response will cause are
Of the above, claim(s) none	Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s)	X Claim(s) 1-35	
Claim(s) 1-16, 19, 20, and 23-35  Claim(s) 17, 18, 21, and 22  Claims  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  The drawing(s) filed on  Inhe proposed drawing correction, filed on  Inhe specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The proposed drawing correction, filed on  Inhe specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  Received.  Received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)  Received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)  Received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received:  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).  Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	Of the above, claim(s) none	is/are withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s) 17, 18, 21, and 22	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claims   17, 18, 21, and 22   is/are objected to.     Claims   are subject to restriction or election requirement.     Application Papers   See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.     The drawing(s) filed on   is/are objected to by the Examiner.     The proposed drawing correction, filed on   is   approved   disapproved.     The specification is objected to by the Examiner.     The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.     The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.     Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).     All	X Claim(s) 1-16, 19, 20, and 23-35	is/are rejected.
Claims		is/are objected to.
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  The drawing(s) filed on		subject to restriction or election requirement.
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited, PTO-892</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)</li></ul>	<ul> <li>See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review.</li> <li>☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by</li> <li>☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is</li> <li>☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119</li> <li>☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35</li> <li>☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the prio</li> <li>☐ received.</li> <li>☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)</li> <li>☐ received in this national stage application from the Internati</li> <li>*Certified copies not received:</li> </ul>	the Examiner.  approveddisapproved.  U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  prity documents have been  disapproved.
·	<ul> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).</li> <li>□ Interview Summary, PTO-413</li> <li>□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948</li> </ul>	11

**Serial Number: 08/942,347** 

Art Unit: 2785



**Examiner Pierre Eddy Elisca** 

**United States Department of Commerce** 

Patent and Trademark Office

Washington, D. C. 20231

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to the applicant's amendment/declaration filed on 05/10/1999.

## Claim Objections

2. Claims 17, 18, 21, 22, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2785

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 (e)

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 9-16, 19, 20, 23-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Douglas et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,652,908).

As per claims 1, 11, 12, 14, 16, 19, 23-33, Douglas discloses a method for retrieving or updating system status for a computer abstract, comprising:

a first computer (see., fig 1);

a microcontroller (microcontroller which is readable as console client servers., e.g 130 or 132) capable of providing a retrieve or update system status signal to the first computer (see., figs 1, 2 and col 6, lines 45-60);

a remote interface connected to the microcontroller (see., col 6, lines 55-67); and

a second computer connected to the first computer via the remote interface and communicating a retrieve or update system status command to the microcontroller (see., figs 1 and 2).

Art Unit: 2785

As per claim 2, Douglas discloses the claimed limitation wherein the remote interface includes an external port for connection to the second computer (see., figs 1 and 2).

As per claims 3, 4, Douglas discloses the claimed limitation wherein the second computer is at the same location as the first computer (see., figs 1 and 2).

As per claims 9, 15, 20, 35, Douglas discloses the limitation wherein the remote interface includes a remote interface microcontroller that connects via a bus to the microcontroller (see., figs 1 and 2).

As per claim 10, Douglas discloses the claimed limitation wherein the remote interface in is responsive to a command sent from the second computer to retrieve or update system status from the microcontroller (see., figs 1 and 2, abstract, col 6, lines 45-67).

As per claims 13, 34, Douglas discloses the claimed limitation wherein the remote interface includes a power source (power source or control switch) independent of a power source for the first computer (see., col 5, lines 29-35).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 (a)

Art Unit: 2785

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 5-8, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Douglas (U.S. Pat. No. 5,5,652,908).

As per claims 5, 6, 8, Douglas substantially discloses the claimed limitation (see., figs 1 and 2, col 4, lines 47-67, col ), but he fails to specifically disclosed a second modem that connects to the second computer and a satellite. However, Examiner hereby takes Official Notice that modem is notoriously well known in the art and therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a modem to the computer method of **Douglas**, because it is a communications device that enables a computer to transmit information over a standard telephone line and a communications satellite is a communications that can be used for high speed transmission of computer data and also capable of handling immense volumes of information.

As per claim 7, Douglas the claimed limitation wherein each modem further connects to a cable network (or LAN) (see., figs 1 and 2).

**Art Unit: 2785** 

#### **CONCLUSION**

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered to applicant's disclosure.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Pierre Eddy Elisca at (703) 305-3987. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 6:30AM. to 5:00PM.

If any attempt to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert W. Beausoleil Jr can be reached on (703) 305-9713.

## Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

#### or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

#### OR:

(703) 305-3718 (for informal or draft communications, pleased label

"PROPOSED" or" DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth floor (receptionist).

Serial Number: 08/942,347

**Art Unit: 2785** 

Pierre Eddy Elisca

Patent Examiner

July 26, 1999

BOBERT W. BEAUSOLIEL, JR. CHIPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

**GROUP 2700**